

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,026	04/10/2001	Gary Helms	108298613US	8349
25096 7590 01/29/2007 PERKINS COIE LLP PATENT-SEA			EXAMINER	
			PLUCINSKI, JAMISUE A	
P.O. BOX 1247 SEATTLE, WA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SLATTEL, WA JOHN 1217		•	3629	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.					
Office A - 4 O	09/833,026	HELMS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jamisue A. Plucinski	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a liod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	6 October 2006.					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 21-36</u> is/are pending in the						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 21-36</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement					
of Chairm(s) are subject to resultation and	!					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Oπice Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have beer	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.				
•						
	. *					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. In response to Amendment filed 10/16/06
- 2. Claims 1-19 and 21-36 are pending

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. With respect to Claims 14-16: The applicant states in the preamble of the claims that the invention is drawn to a system. However, in the body of the claim language, instead of the limitations being written as system limitations, they are written as method steps. Therefore, causing to be unclear which statutory class the invention falls into.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. 35 U.S.C. 101 requires that in order to be patentable the invention must be a "new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or

any new and useful improvement thereof' (emphasis added). Applicant's claims mentioned above are intended to embrace or overlap two different statutory classes of invention as set forth in 35 USC 101. The claims begin by discussing a system (the preamble in claims 14-16 as well as independent claim 11) while the body of the claim does not provide any system limitations, but rather attempts to positive claim method steps.

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 10, 12, 17, 18, 22-24, 28, 29, and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hsuan (2002/0116240).
- 9. With respect to Claims 1, 11, 22, 32 and 36: Hsuan discloses the use of a method, with computer instructions and system with means for tracking orders or multiple unites of items (see abstract) comprising the means for performing the steps:
 - a. Providing an order database (90, with corresponding detailed description) with an order record for a plurality of items;
 - b. Creating a unit order database (80, article database, with corresponding detailed description) having a record for each unit with a unique article identifier, and a link to the order database (see abstract and paragraph 0038); and

Application/Control Number: 09/833,026

Art Unit: 3629

Page 4

- c. Setting a status of each unit of each order, when the status changes with the order (see abstract, and Figures 11 and 12 with corresponding detailed description). The examiner considers changes in the order database, to include changes such as tracking.
- 10. With respect to Claims 2, 12, 23, 33 and 36: See Hsuan, abstract.
- 11. With respect to Claims 3, 13, 24 and 34: See Hsuan, abstract, and paragraph 0039.
- 12. With respect to Claims 7, 17 and 28: Hsuan discloses the updating of the status of the orders are done on a continuous basis (paragraphs 0037 and 0043), which the examiner considers to be a periodic basis.
- 13. With respect to Claims 8, 18 and 29: The order of Hsuan are tracked on a continuous basis, therefore the examiner considers this to be multiple times a day, which the examiner considers to be done on a daily basis because it is done every day.
- 14. With respect to Claims 10 and 31: See Hsuan, abstract and paragraph 0038.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. Claims 9, 19 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsuan (US 2002/0116240).

17. Hsuan discloses setting a status in the record, however fails to disclose the status being a shipping status, and ship date. However, the specific type of information that the status is based on is deemed to be nonfunctional descriptive material and is not functionally involved in the steps recited. The creating of database steps and updating status steps would be performed the same regardless of what type of information the status is based on, the claims merely store this information, not use the ship date in any particular fashion, or in any further steps.. Thus this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F. 2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed.Cir.1983); In re Lowry, 32 F. 3d 1579, 32 USPO2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Page 5

- 18. Claims 4-6, 13-16, 21, 25-27 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsuan as applied to claims 1, 11, 22 and 32 above, and further in view of Peachey-Kountz et al. (6,463,345).
- 19. With respect to Claims 4-6, 13-16, 21, 25-27 and 35: Hsuan discloses the use of an order and having a record for each item in an order, but fail to disclose the order can be modified to increase or decrease the quantity of the order, and either adding a unit record or setting a record to cancelled. Peachey-Kountz discloses the use of orders where the quantity of items are changed and modified due to backorders or cancellation of orders (see Figures 5-7, Column 11, lines 53-67), and the record status is updated to reflect the change, (see Figures 5 and 6 with corresponding detailed description). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hsuan, to include the capability of changing

Art Unit: 3629

the order, and the records reflecting the change, in order to provide an improved reporting system. (See Peachey-Kountz, Column 9)

Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 and 21-36 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wilson et al. (US 2002/0133387) discloses the use of a system with ordering and fulfillment databases, Cazemier et al. (6,609,123) discloses the use of a query engine for accessing multiple layers of information, Green et al. (WO 97/29445) discloses the use of a purchase order system with a separate item database.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamisue A. Plucinski whose telephone number is (571) 272-6811. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30 - 4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,026

Art Unit: 3629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jámisue A. Plucinski Patent Examiner Art Unit 3629